

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/730,823

Applicant(s)

KUDO ET AL.

Examiner

Thao T. Tran

Art Unit

1711

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Travis Ribar.

(3) Jacob Doughty

(2) James Seidleck

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7/21/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 7-10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hashimoto, Sattler

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant went over proposed amendment and how it relates to the outstanding rejections. The examiner will examine the amended claims and respond accordingly once the amendment is filed.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Travis B. Ribar
Examiner's signature, if required